

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/730,796	Applicant(s) SIX ET AL.	
	Examiner James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	Art Unit 1616	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Abandoned

 (1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo.

(3) _____.

 (2) Wendy Petka, J.D..

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 May 2007
Time: ~11:45 AM EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Attorney Petka to inquire as to the status of the instant application. Ms. Petka verified that no response to the office action mailed on October 5, 2006 had been filed and that a continuation of the instant application was filed on February 13, 2007..